Proposal Submission Policy: “30-10-5-2”

Summary
The preparation and submission of grant applications to external agencies is a team effort. Success hinges on timely and effective communication between the project team and the administrative collaborators at the department and institutional level. With the goal of crafting a policy that works well for all stakeholders, this proposal submission policy for TTUHSC El Paso has been updated, effective October 25, 2021. This updated policy incorporates more flexibility for principal investigators (PI), department chairs/deans and grant administrators, and responds to the variable nature of funding opportunity announcements.

Proposal Preparation Timelines and Deadlines
All sponsored project proposals requesting funding for extramural support of research, training or other activities conducted at Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center at El Paso (TTUHSCEP) are submitted through Sponsored Programs (SP). The timely submission of sponsored project documents and budgets ensures sufficient opportunity for the thoughtful consideration and review for compliance with university policies, sponsor guidelines and all relevant laws and regulations. To ensure all PIs have fair and equitable access to SP services and to help facilitate the timely review, approval and submission of all proposals the following timeline has been established.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROPOSAL DEADLINES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>At least 30 calendar days prior to agency deadline (earlier is better)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10 business days prior to agency deadline</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5 business days</strong> prior to agency deadline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5 business days</strong> prior to agency deadline (continued)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2 business days</strong> prior to agency deadline for electronic proposal submissions or <strong>3 business days</strong> prior to agency deadline when a hard copy must be mailed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1 business day</strong> prior to agency deadline for electronic proposals or <strong>2 business days</strong> prior to agency deadline when a hard copy of the proposal must be mailed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All proposals that meet established deadlines will be processed by SP first. Deadline is defined as the date any required document(s) must be submitted to SP. If the 30-day or 10-day deadline falls on a holiday or weekend, the deadline will automatically be extended to the next business day. However, if the 5-day or 2-day deadline falls on a holiday or weekend, the deadline will be automatically updated to reflect the last business day **prior** to the deadline. If any deadline established by this policy is missed, the proposal will be considered late. Late proposals are at risk for submission failure and provide a disadvantage to on-time proposals. Additionally, a late proposal means there will be less time to correct any errors identified by SP staff, the sponsor’s electronic systems or to contend with system delays. However, SP is aware that circumstances
beyond the control of the PI and emergency situations can arise. Therefore, to ensure consistency and fairness to all PIs, the following criteria for late proposals has been established:

1. All late proposals will require the approval of the department chair and the Vice President for Research (VPR). To request permission the VPR Late Proposal Approval Request form will need to be completed, signed and submitted to the VPR.
2. If a funding opportunity is posted within the required 30-day notification window, VPR approval will not be required.
3. Exception requests submitted to the VPR within five days of the funding agency due date will not be approved.
4. Circumstances beyond the control of the PI and emergency situations should be rare and do not include such things as international travel of the PI, departmental or project team staffing shortages, signatures missing on routing documents or failure of subrecipients to return documents/proposals with enough time to meet established deadlines as these and other similar issues are expected to be managed when planning for the proposal.
5. Late proposals, approved to proceed by the VPR, will be processed on a first-come, first-serve basis after all on-time submissions have been completed.
6. SP staff will do its best to submit VPR approved late proposals, but cannot guarantee late proposals will be processed in time to meet the sponsor deadline.
7. SP staff will not be required to work outside normal business hours in order to process late proposals.
8. PIs are limited to one late proposal per fiscal year (September 1-August 31).

Pre-Proposals, Letters of Intent or Pre-Applications
All pre-proposals, letters of intent and pre-applications must be started by the lead PI in Cayuse a minimum of 30 days prior to the sponsor’s deadline and the proposal submission timeline established by this policy should be followed. If any of the deadlines established above are missed, a VPR Late Proposal Approval Request must be submitted.

Roles and Responsibilities
To ensure successful, timely and effective communication between the project team and the administrative collaborators at the department and institutional level, the following roles and responsibilities have been established.
Principal Investigators are responsible for
1. knowing, understanding and complying with submission deadlines discussed in this policy;
2. engaging SP as early in the process as possible;
3. reading the sponsor guidelines;
4. ensuring he/she meets PI eligibility;
5. completing all sections of the Cayuse record not marked “SP Only” and uploading the signed personal worksheet a minimum of 30 days prior to the sponsor deadline;
6. ensuring the correct funding announcement number or link has been entered in Cayuse;
7. discussing effort requirements with his/her department chair;
8. completing the Subrecipient vs Contractor checklist for each subawardee listed on the proposal, if applicable;
9. communicating proposal deadlines to all subawardee PIs;
10. providing the fully signed PI exception form to SP, if applicable;
11. developing and preparing proposals for external funding in accordance with sponsor guidelines and university policies;
12. ensuring proposals adhere to formatting requirements;
13. ensuring all proposal documents are provided to SP as separate PDF files unless otherwise specified in the sponsor guidelines;
14. ensuring new proposal files are provided to SP for each new submission or resubmission;
15. ensuring new letters of support are provided to SP for each new submission or resubmission;
16. ensuring all personnel listed in their proposal have a current financial conflict of interest disclosure on file;
17. ensuring scientific completeness of the proposal; and
18. obtaining a signed letter of transmittal from SP before submitting a proposal when the sponsor requires the PI to submit.

Co-PIs, Co-Investigators and/or Collaborators are responsible for
1. informing his/her department chair when participating in another PIs proposal;
2. providing a new letter of support to the PI for inclusion in each new or resubmission proposal; and
3. obtaining written approval from his/her department chair if participating as a collaborator on a TTUHSCEP proposal.
Department Administrators are responsible for
1. knowing and understanding the proposal submission policy;
2. confirming SP is aware of a prospective proposal when assisting a PI;
3. helping PIs determine the appropriate title and salary levels of TBD positions that will be listed in the proposal budget; and
4. assisting PIs with the travel budget (per diem, lodging, transportation, etc.)

Department Chairs and Deans are responsible for
1. knowing and understanding the proposal submission policy;
2. knowing and understanding that authorizing a proposal is acceptance of the amount of effort the PI/Co-Investigator is committing as well as all budgeted amounts, including cost share;
3. reaching out to the PI or SP for additional information when necessary; and
4. reviewing all proposal elements before authorizing a proposal.

Sponsored Programs is responsible for
1. ensuring compliance with solicitation and/or sponsor guidelines;
2. checking the accuracy of administrative and institutional information (contact information, DUNS number, EIN, etc.);
3. ensuring all regulatory requirements (conflict of interest, IRB, IACUC, IBC, etc.) have been satisfied;
4. requesting and verifying the accuracy of subrecipient proposal packages;
5. entering salary and fringe information on the SP budget template and providing it to the PI for completion of remaining sections;
6. reviewing the final budget and final budget justification;
7. reviewing matching and cost sharing requirements and commitments;
8. ensuring F&A rates and calculations are correct;
9. making certain that proposed contract award language conforms with University policies;
10. checking that any potential conflicts of interest have been managed or eliminated;
11. evaluating potential export control issues;
12. ensuring all required representations and certifications are included and signed;
13. reviewing the proposal for administrative completeness;
14. requesting proposal corrections after reviewing penultimate files;
15. routing the proposal for signatures in Cayuse;
16. ensuring all approvals have been obtained before a proposal is submitted; and
17. submitting the proposal to the sponsor or providing a signed transmittal letter delegating submission authority to the PI when **required** by the sponsor.