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Objectives

- Define and review definition of CBPR
- Discuss the key principles of CBPR
- Discuss opportunities for CBPR training and implementation
CBPR definition

“A collaborative approach to research that equitably involves all partners in the research process and recognizes the unique strengths that each brings.

CBPR begins with a research topic of importance to the community and has the aim of combining knowledge with action and achieving social change…”

W.K. Kellogg Community Scholars Program (2001)
CBPR definition

Community-Based:
• works in response to the needs of a community

Participatory:
• the community is part of the process

Research:
• systematic investigation that develops or contributes to generalizable knowledge
CBPR key principles

Interplay of research, education, and action
• Balance between knowledge generation and intervention

Partnership/Mutual Benefit
• Involvement of community in all steps of the research process

Cooperative: sharing of expertise, decision-making and ownership
• Co-learning

Community as unit of identity
Building on strengths and resources within community
CBPR key principles

• Focus on local relevance of public health problems
  Honoring local knowledge

• Choice of methods based on research question and feasibility within community
  Quantitative and qualitative methods

• Dissemination of results to ALL partners
  Understandable, respectful, useful

• Time and long-term commitment
  Sustainability
CBPR: rationale

• There is a growing recognition that “traditional” research approaches have failed to solve complex health disparities.

• Increasing understanding of importance of local and cultural context
Full participation of community in identifying issues of greatest importance increased motivation to participate in research process.

Community representatives involved with study design and proposal submission increased acceptability of study approach, include funds for community.

Community representatives provide guidance regarding recruitment and retention strategies Enhanced recruitment and retention.

Measurement instruments developed with community input and tested in similar population Potentially sensitive issues handled better and increased reliability and validity of measures.

Community members help guide intervention development Assures greater cultural and social relevance to the population served, increasing the likelihood of producing positive change.

Community members assist researchers with interpretation, dissemination and translation of findings Assures greater sensitivity to cultural and social norms and climate and potential group harm and enhances potential for translation of findings into practice.

Health identified concern(s)

Study designed and funding sought

Participants recruited and retention systems implemented

Measurement instruments designed and data collected

Intervention designed and implemented

Data analyzed and interpreted, findings disseminated and translated

Issues identified based on epidemiologic data and funding priorities.

Design based entirely on scientific rigor and feasibility, funding requested primarily for research expenses.

Approaches to recruitment and retention based on scientific issues and "best guesses" regarding reaching community members and keeping them involved in the study.

Measurement instruments adopted/adapted from other studies. Tested chiefly with psychometric analytic methods.

Researchers design intervention based on literature and theory.

Researchers report findings from statistical analysis and publish in peer-reviewed journals.
### Traditional research components vs CBPR research components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traditional Research Approach</th>
<th>Community-engaged Research</th>
<th>CBPR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Researcher defines problem</strong></td>
<td>Research IN the community, or WITH the community</td>
<td>Community identifies problem or works with researcher to identify problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Research In or ON the community</strong></td>
<td>Research WITH community as partner</td>
<td>Research WITH community as full partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>People as subjects</strong></td>
<td>People as participants</td>
<td>People as participants and collaborators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community organizations may assist</strong></td>
<td>Community organizations may help recruit participants &amp; serve on Advisory Board</td>
<td>Community organizations are equal partners with researchers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Researchers gain skills &amp; knowledge</strong></td>
<td>Researchers gain skills &amp; knowledge, some awareness of helping community develop skills</td>
<td>Researchers and community work together to help build community capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Researchers control process, resources &amp; data interpretation</strong></td>
<td>Researchers control research, community representatives may help make minor decisions</td>
<td>Researcher &amp; community share control equally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Researchers own data, control use and dissemination</strong></td>
<td>Researchers own data &amp; decide how will be used and disseminated together</td>
<td>Data is shared, researchers &amp; community decide its use and dissemination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Why CBPR?

- Social equity and justice
  - Colorectal cancer screening disparities are greatest in those:
    - With no usual source of care
    - Uninsured
    - Recent immigrants
- Increasing interest in use of research to implement and disseminate best practices
- Increasing community and funder demands for community-driven research
- “Community-level” variables
  - Social determinants of health
    - Income, insurance, employment, education, healthcare access

Why CBPR?

• Community research participants are finding that they do not necessarily enjoy the benefits of their participation in research

• Relevance of research in which they don’t participate?
  – Degrades trust in research
  – Degrades trust in researchers

• Community-based interventions are conducted within populations to whom the benefits are directly targeted
Benefits and Challenges of CBPR

Benefits
• New views
• Resources
• Results more easily translatable into practice
• Data for health improvement
• Visibility and voice for community
• Increased capacity for both researcher and community partners

Challenges
• Trust
• Time
• Awareness of potential positive and negative consequences of this approach
• Scientific rigor
• Clashing perspectives and responsibilities
• Access to and ownership of data
• Dissemination
Is CBPR right for you?
Is opportunism and self-interest driving the agenda?

Do you and your team have the necessary skills?

Are you as a researcher uncomfortable with changing your methods and/or approach to working with participants?
Are you a community member who simply wants an intervention or community service but who has no interest in research questions?

Do the ethical considerations related to burden and benefits to the community outweigh potential research benefits?

What if you don’t “buy into” the values and principles of CBPR?
Objectives

• Define and review definition of CBPR
• Discuss the key principles of CBPR
• Discuss opportunities for CBPR training and implementation in medical school
Models of CBPR training

- Multiple fields: education, organizational science, nursing, public health
Models of CBPR training

https://www.ccphealth.org/
Models of CBPR training, our experience

https://www.utsouthwestern.edu/education/medical-school/degrees-pathways/md-community-health/
Community Partners
North Dallas Shared Ministries (NDSM)
Union Gospel Mission Shelter
Lumin Education
Momentous Institute
The Bridge
Parkland Health and Hospital System
UTSW
United To Serve
DCHHS

Community Action Research Track (CART)
Community Action Research Experience (CARE, FM residency)
Community Health Fellowship Program (CHFP)
Distinction in Community Health

Students’ Projects
Community Medicine Fellowship (Postdoc)
Global Health Preclinical Elective
Community Medicine Rotation (FM Residency)
Student run free clinics
Community Health framework
UTSW Department of Family and Community Medicine

Community Based Participatory Research
- Union Gospel Mission (homeless population)
- North Dallas Shared Ministries (uninsured, Hispanic population)
- Family Place (Intimate Partner Violence population)
- Agape Clinic (uninsured, refugees, Hispanic population)
- Global Health
- Student Run Free Clinics
- Home Visits (Parkland population)

Education
- Medical Students (Schweitzer Fellowship)
- Medical Students (CART, CHFP, CM elective, Scholarly Activity, CM Week)
- Other learners (PA Students, MPH students)
- Family Medicine Residents (CM rotation)

Clinical
- Partnership Community Organizations
- Post Doc Fellowship (Community Health Fellowship)

Interdisciplinary training (dental and medical learners)
HRSA

Community Health framework
UTSW Department of Family and Community Medicine
CBPR resources

• https://www.ccphealth.org/
  (resources)

• https://napcrg.org/resources/casfm/participatory-research-in-primary-care/
Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices Regarding Skin Cancer and Sun Exposure among Homeless Men at a Shelter in Dallas, TX.

Joseph A1, Kindrat T2, Pagels P1, Gimpel N2.

Abstract
This cross-sectional study evaluated the knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding skin cancer and sun exposure among homeless men (n = 75). A 21-item survey was given to men residing at Calvert Place Men's Shelter in Dallas, TX. Results indicated that 49% knew that a change in a mole's appearance and a sore that does not heal were signs of skin cancer. Black homeless men were less likely to know that people with dark skin could get skin cancer and that sunscreen should be applied 15-30 min before sun exposure compared to white and other subgroups (p < .05). People were more likely to agree that sun protection is important (median = 5.0), but less likely to agree that they were at risk for skin cancer (median = 3.0). White men had higher levels of agreement that melanoma was dangerous compared to other racial/ethnic groups (p = 0.0224). Over half (52%) of individuals reported being in the sun often, yet only 21% reported the use of sunscreen. Most (71%) homeless men had never checked themselves for skin cancer and only 13% reported ever being screened by a health professional for skin cancer. Increased skin cancer education and increased screening efforts should be implemented to better protect the homeless population at Calvert Place from skin cancer.

KEYWORDS: Homeless; Skin neoplasms; Sun exposure; Underserved

Awareness and Knowledge of Human Papilloma Virus and Cervical Cancer in Women with High Pap Uptake.

Alatif R1, Kindrat T2, Pagels P1, Saleh N4, Gimpel N2.

Abstract
This cross-sectional study explored knowledge, awareness, and health practices surrounding cervical cancer prevention and screening. Patients (n = 129) were recruited from three community clinics of underserved populations in Dallas, Texas. Women between ages 18-65 were surveyed using a self-administered questionnaire to evaluate their knowledge, awareness, and attitudes related to pap tests, human papilloma virus (HPV), HPV vaccines, and cervical cancer. Most women reported having a pap test in the past 3-5 years (86.6%). However, less than half of women knew the purpose of a pap test (40%), the purpose of the HPV vaccine (48%), or the transmission mode of HPV (25%). Over half of participants first heard about a pap test from a doctor (60%), about one quarter from their mother (24%), and less than a quarter from others (16%). More than half of women were aware of HPV (55%), while less than half were aware of the HPV vaccine (48%). Overall, we found that while most women had a high uptake of pap tests, they had low knowledge of the purpose of the pap test, the HPV vaccine, and transmission mode of HPV. They also had low awareness of HPV and the HPV vaccine. Given that almost all cases of cervical cancer are due to HPV infection, future studies should aim to further explore the gap between knowledge and awareness of HPV and pap uptake.

KEYWORDS: Cervical cancer screening; Community-based participatory research; HPV vaccine; Pap test; Underserved
The cardiovascular health of urban African Americans: diet-related results from the Genes, Nutrition, Exercise, Wellness, and Spiritual Growth (GoodNEWS) trial.

Carson JA¹, Michalsky L, Latson B, Banks K, Tong L, Gimpel N, Lee JJ, Dehaven MJ.

Abstract

African Americans have a higher incidence of cardiovascular disease (CVD) than Americans in general and are thus prime targets for efforts to reduce CVD risk. Dietary intake data were obtained from African Americans participating in the Genes, Nutrition, Exercise, Wellness, and Spiritual Growth (GoodNEWS) Trial. The 286 women and 75 men who participated had a mean age of 49 years; 53% had hypertension, 65% had dyslipidemia, and 51% met criteria for metabolic syndrome. Their dietary intakes were compared with American Heart Association and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute nutrition parameters to identify areas for improvement to reduce CVD risk in this group of urban church members in Dallas, TX. Results from administration of the Dietary History Questionnaire indicated median daily intakes of 33.6% of energy from total fat, 10.3% of energy from saturated fat, 171 mg cholesterol, 16.3 g dietary fiber, and 2,453 mg sodium. A beneficial median intake of 2.9 cups fruits and vegetables per day was coupled with only 2.7 oz fish/week and an excessive intake of 13 tsp added sugar/day. These data indicate several changes needed to bring the diets of these individuals—and likely many other urban African Americans—in line with national recommendations, including reduction of saturated fat, sodium, and sugar intake, in addition to increased intake of fatty fish and whole grains. The frequent inclusion of vegetables should be encouraged in ways that promote achievement of recommended intakes of energy, fat, fiber, and sodium.

TRIAL REGISTRATION: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00669630.
CBPR clinical practice and training

- Health Fairs
- Student-Run Free Clinics
Medical Follow-up through American Heart Association’s “Check. Change. Control” program in an Underserved, High-Risk Population
Derek Udeh, BS, Nikita Agarwal, BA, Manasa Dutta, BA, and Nora Gimpel, MD

Background
- United to Serve (UTS) is an annual health education and screening fair coordinated by UT Southwestern (UTSW) students with the aid of faculty and staff.
- The Health Awareness Program (HAP) evaluates the impact of UTS and establishes a follow-up system for participants to access medical homes in Dallas.
- HAP began a community partnership with the American Heart Association (AHA) in 2019, as participants could access the AHA’s “Check. Change. Control” (CCC) resources.
- CCC is an evidence-based hypertension program that utilizes blood pressure self-monitoring to empower participants to take ownership of their cardiovascular health.
- CCC has been implemented in corporate settings, but its effect in underserved populations has yet to be seen.

Purpose
- To evaluate the ability of HAP in assisting UTS participants to establish a medical home.
- To evaluate the effect of HAP’s CCC program in decreasing cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk in a community setting.

Methods
- Participants: HAP participants defined as UTS patrons ≥18 years who consented to receive follow-up calls regarding their primary care physician (PCP) visits and CVD risk factors.
- Measurements: Blood glucose, BMI, blood pressure, and lipid panel measured for each participant on site to calculate atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) risk.
- Intervention: Phone calls with medical home information provided to participants. Participants received information about CCC events. Those who attended CCC sessions received education on CVD risk reduction diet, exercise, and self-monitoring blood pressure.
- Follow-up: Participants are contacted by phone at 1, 3, and 6 months intervals within the year to determine whether they have visited a physician and to provide healthcare access information.

Cohort Demographics and Screening Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Mean (n=102)</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood Glucose (n=98)</td>
<td>106.3</td>
<td>50.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (n=101)</td>
<td>29*</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic Blood Pressure (n=98)</td>
<td>139.3*</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic Blood Pressure (n=98)</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cholesterol (n=96)</td>
<td>190.2*</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (n=96)</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (n=96)</td>
<td>147.5*</td>
<td>33.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (n=96)</td>
<td>154.9</td>
<td>80.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Primary Language: English 56%, Spanish 44%

Ethnicity: Hispanic or Latino 56%, Black or African American 39%, White 5%

Results
- The majority of UTS population is Hispanic.
- In 2019, 45% (n=180) of UTS health fair attendees (n=403) consented to participate in HAP.
- Of HAP participants, 75% were uninsured and 38% were enrolled in CCC.

Conclusions
- HAP has identified community members who lack a PCP follow-up and, through phone calls, has helped participants establish a medical home after a community health fair.
- The addition of CCC to HAP can be valuable in engaging participants in reducing CVD risks and promoting self-management.

Future Steps
- Determining whether to use HAP as a data gathering tool or an intervention.
- To improve effectiveness in contacting participants for better data collection.
- To evaluate continuity of participants in their medical home and identify clinic locations.
- To identify returning participants to UTS and evaluate their long-term PCP follow-up.
- To improve interventions that will ensure sustainability with the community every year.
- To assess change in participants’ CVD risks by inquiring about medication regimen changes.
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STUDENT-RUN FREE CLINICS: ENHANCING THE ABILITY TO EDUCATE MEDICAL STUDENTS AND COLLABORATE WITH OUR COMMUNITIES

Whitney Stuard, Kelly Kiser, Areon Thomas, Nikhitha Thrikutam, Amber Khan, Pooja Prabhakar, Tina Tran, Michaela Modén, Tu Bui, James Wagner M.D.*, Patti Pagels, PA-C*, Nora Gimpel, M.D.*
The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, USA

Background

- Student-run free clinics (SRFC) have become an increasingly recognized entity over the past few decades in providing healthcare to those with limited resources.¹
- These clinics provide important medical services for an underserved part of the community.
- In addition, service learning at free clinics benefits students in a number of ways.
- Students develop clinical skills such as taking a history, performing a physical exam, and building a differential diagnosis.²
- At UT Southwestern Medical Center (UTSW), the increasing number of students volunteering at an expanding number of free clinics has created the need of enhancing communication, coordination and integration of the clinics’ educational and service activities.

Objective

The goal of this project is to enhance the Free Clinics Committee’s (FCC) ability to
- Educate students in clinical practices
- Support their innovative research
- Teach mentorship skills.
Education: To improve the real-world clinical education provided to students by the individual free clinics.
Collaboration: To provide channels to enhance communication between clinics and students.
Sustainability: To create the structure that could be sustained and further developed by future classes of medical students.

Methods

- The committee is representatives that are elected from UTSW SRFC managers.
- Faculty leadership has led to the development of
  - Shared protocols for recruiting and credentialing faculty members volunteering in the FCC clinics
  - Grant writing and funding of special FCC projects
  - Stimulating scholarly activity among SRFC participants.
- Each representative chooses a sub-committee to chair. The sub-committees are:
  - Research and Grants Patient and Community Outreach
  - Education and Training
  - FCC Summit planning
  - Volunteer Coordination
  - Physician Recruitment and Training

Results

During the period from 3/3/2016 – 12/10/2016 the FCC and SRFC accomplished the following:

1. 2400.75 volunteering hours with over 557 student volunteers³
2. Two student-led workshops on clinical skills necessary for adequate patient care in the SRFCs
3. A summit with all current managers of the SRFCs in which the FCC leadership was transferred to the newest Class and a discussion of the FCC project results. It also served as an educational meeting for the 2017 managers about the SRFC patient demographics and the social/religious/financial obstacles they face.
4. A central source of funding was established via the UTSW academy of Teachers (SWAT) grant and with the FCC Clinical Skills Workshops
5. Established a central website (SignUp.com) that organizes and tracks volunteering hours and posts opportunities for volunteering.

Conclusions

The FCC has and will continue to help integrate the variety of student-run free clinics at UTSW.

- The FCC has created easy access for medical students to Medical Service Learning and Scholarly Activities and Clinical Skill training.
- It created a common starting point for clinical educators interested in working with UTSW students on Service learning projects.
- It established a stable transferal of leadership process that allows for students of the Freshman Medical Class (PC1) to assume the managerial role.
- It has also produced a reliable source of clinical knowledge and guidance for the Student managers to assume their managerial roles.

Finally, this project represents an innovation in student-faculty partnership toward promoting the following in all UTSW free clinics:

- Community service
- Optimal patient care
- Scholarly activity for students
- Health care administration that captures the potential of service learning
- Education for preclinical, clinical students, and other health professions individuals
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